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Key Messages

- There is a growing realisation that a focus on improving the efficiency of vehicles is only part of the solution in the transport sector. A more holistic approach involving the reduction of transport demand and the shift of transport to more environmentally friendly and energy efficient modes is needed if the European Union is to meet its 2030 and 2050 targets. However very few EU Member States presented a comprehensive package of transport measures in their National Energy Efficiency Action Plans (NEEAPs).

- The most commonly implemented policies at Member State level are those that seek to improve the efficiency of vehicles (e.g. implementation of Regulation 443/2009/EC into national law) or encourage the purchase of cleaner vehicles. Other measures seek to encourage modal shift or change driver behaviour.

- The majority of policies focus on cars: improving the efficiency of cars, encouraging the take-up of energy efficient cars and changing the behaviour of car drivers. This focus on cars may be partly due to the homogeneous nature of cars and partly to their large share of the overall vehicle fleet. However, cross-cutting measures such as voluntary agreements and white certificates are now being applied to other vehicle types, and may be more appropriate than codes and standards when dealing with heterogeneous technologies like heavy goods vehicles (HGVs).

- Vehicle efficiency improvement measures are predominantly implemented at EU level through regulations targeted at vehicle manufacturers. There are also some novel policies at the national level, particularly on HGVs and public transport vehicles, including the introduction of longer road trains and voluntary agreements with freight logistics companies.

- Modal shift can play an important role in reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from transport. Measures include enhancements to public transport provision (e.g., extending the public transport network), fiscal incentives to encourage the use of public transport or non-motorised modes, differential toll charges, the promotion of walking and cycling, and urban mobility planning.

- Measures to encourage the uptake of cleaner vehicles include labelling, taxation and infrastructure charges, grants and subsidies and scrappage schemes. Differentiation of car purchase tax by fuel efficiency/CO$_2$ emissions have now been introduced in almost two-thirds of Member States, but differentiation of annual circulation taxes is less common.

- Scrappage schemes for older inefficient cars have been introduced quite widely across the EU, although often for relatively limited periods. Many of the schemes also provide incentives for the purchase of new cars, often stipulating CO$_2$ performance standards which must be met.

- Measures to promote the uptake of electric vehicles have expanded substantially in the last few years, and seek to combat the most common barriers, high capital cost and lack of charging infrastructure.

- Changing driver behaviour to encourage more fuel efficient driving is widely recognised as potentially offering significant savings, and several countries have introduced training courses and awareness raising campaigns for both car drivers and freight, and bus and coach drivers.
Increasing the utilisation of vehicles, e.g. through car sharing, can also contribute to improving the overall efficiency of passenger transport, and is typically encouraged though a range of 'soft' measures to change driver and passenger behaviour.
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1 Introduction

The aim of this brochure is to provide insight into developments in policy measures to improve energy efficiency in the transport sector in the EU-27. This should help policy makers and other parties involved in energy efficiency and CO₂ emission reduction to adapt current policies and to define new, effective policy measures. As well as providing an overview of transport policy measures, the brochure highlights innovative measures in Member States which could be considered for use by other Member States.

This publication was created using the data contained in the MURE databases (Box 1.1) which includes details of policy measures on energy efficiency, including details of their impact, for all EU-27 Member States, plus Croatia and Norway. The brochure also makes use of information from the ODYSSEE database (www.odyssee-indicators.org) on energy efficiency indicators to provide information on trends on mobility and energy efficiency trends in the transport sector. A more detailed analysis of data on energy trends, drivers for energy use, explanatory variables and energy-related CO₂ emissions in the transport sector is contained in a further report from the ODYSSEE-MURE Project¹.

Box 1.1 MURE database

The MURE database (www.mure2.com) provides an overview of the most important energy efficiency policy measures by sector (households, industry, transport and tertiary), as well as general or cross-cutting measures. Information about these measures is collected by national energy agencies or institutes according to harmonised guidelines. The measures are classified according to various criteria:

- their status (completed, ongoing or planned) and year of introduction and completion;
- their type: legislative/normative (e.g. standards for new dwellings), legislative/informative (e.g. mandatory labels for appliances), financial (e.g. subsidies), fiscal (e.g. tax deductions), information/education, co-operative (e.g. voluntary agreements) and taxes on energy/CO₂;
- their qualitative impact: low, medium or high impact, based on quantitative evaluations or expert estimates;
- the targeted energy users, the actors involved, etc.

For each policy measure a detailed description is available which contains, if available, a quantitative impact in terms of energy savings and/or CO₂ emission reduction.

The MURE database provides EU Member States with a structured format to report on measures taken under the National Energy Efficiency Action Plans requested by the European Commission in compliance with the Energy Service Directive (ESD). In addition, the MURE simulation tool, which is linked to the database, was used by the EU Commission to assess the energy saving potentials over the period 2010-2030².

Globally transport is the sector with the highest final energy consumption and, without any significant policy changes, is likely to remain so. It is responsible for about one-fifth of CO₂ emissions in the EU, and emissions from the sector are still rising rapidly. The recent EU White Paper (EC, 2011) has however set a clear quantitative target for the reduction of GHG emissions from transport¹, of 60% by 2050 from 1990 levels (which is equivalent to about a

² DG TREN (2009): Energy Savings Potentials in EU Member States, Candidate Countries and EEA Countries
70% reduction from 2008 levels), with an interim goal of reducing emissions by around 20% below their 2008 level by 2030.

It is widely recognised that the transport sector remains one of the most challenging areas for improving energy efficiency, and that while in the past, measures have focussed on technological improvements, there is the need to move towards a more holistic approach, which includes reduction of transport demand and shift of transport to more environmentally friendly and energy efficient modes. A ‘hierarchy’ for sustainable transport policies has been identified (e.g. SDC, 2011; EEA, 2010) which prioritises demand reduction, and modal shift (Figure 1.1).

**Figure 1.1 Sustainable hierarchy for transport measures**

Historically there has been a tendency for measures to focus on technological solutions to improve vehicle efficiency, particularly of cars. For example, a review of the first National Energy Efficiency Action Plans (NEEAPs) submitted by Member States\(^3\), found that although there had been significant improvements over recent years in vehicle technology, particularly fuel efficiency, these were not sufficient to neutralize the effect of increases in traffic and car size. On the other hand the review also found that only a few Member States reported a clear and consistent strategy for modal shifts to more energy saving modes of transport.

The IEA has also recognised the need to tackle transport sector energy consumption in a more comprehensive way. In 2008 it made four key recommendations on transport, concerning tyre efficiency, fuel economy of light and heavy duty vehicles and ecodriving, In

\(^{3}\) SEC(2009)889 final MOVING FORWARD TOGETHER ON SAVING ENERGY
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2010, a review of implementation of these recommendations in Member States (which concluded that none of the recommendations had been fully implemented in all counties) recognised that the original recommendations mainly focused on vehicle and tyre efficiency and did not address driver behaviour (aside from the recommendations on eco-driving) or travel demand. Their recommendations have subsequently been expanded to include other aspects of vehicle efficiency and modal shift (Box 1.2).

**Box 1.2 IEA recommendations on transport energy efficiency**

As part of a set of 25 energy efficiency recommendations, the IEA has made the following five recommendations for measures to tap energy savings in the transport sector:

- Implement and periodically strengthen mandatory fuel-efficiency standards for light- and heavy-duty vehicles; for heavy duty vehicles this includes establishing testing procedures
- Adopt measures such as labelling, incentives and taxes to boost vehicle efficiency and accelerate the market penetration of new efficient vehicle technologies. This should include Infrastructure support and incentive schemes for very low $\text{CO}_2$-emitting and fuel-efficient vehicles.
- Put in place policies to improve the performance of tyres, air conditioning, lighting and other non-engine components that affect a vehicle’s fuel efficiency. This should include mandatory fitting of tyre-pressure monitoring systems on new road vehicles and the introduction of energy efficiency requirements for air-conditioning systems
- Promote eco-driving by making it a required element of driver’s education programmes and requiring feedback instruments in new vehicles.
- Enable policies that increase the overall energy efficiency of national, regional and local transport systems, and promote shifts of passengers and freight to more efficient modes. Policies should ensure that users pay the economic, environmental and energy security-related costs of the transport system, that transport infrastructure is built to support the most energy efficient transport modes, and that urban and commercial development planning takes into account the likely implications for transport and energy demand.

Source: IEA, 2011.

A wide range of types of measures are discussed in the report, ranging from regulations, to fiscal measures to information type measures and voluntary agreements. Most of the measures discussed in the report are national measures, as the MURE database is not designed to include local or regional measures (unless the measure is particularly innovative and could be easily replicated in a number of regions or localities). Similarly, infrastructure developments (such as introduction of high speed rail lines) are not included. However both of these types of measures can have a role to play in developing programmes to reduce transport energy demand.

Section 2 of this brochure gives an overview of trends and polices at the European Level, summarising underlying mobility and energy efficiency trends and discussing key EU level policies. It also gives an overview from the database of the types of measures which have been implemented.

Section 3 discusses policies aimed at reducing transport demand and for modal shift, both between motorised modes and to non-motorised modes.

Measure to improve vehicle efficiencies are discussed in Section 4, and measures to encourage the update of cleaner vehicles are summarised in Section 5. Examples measures to improve efficiency through driver behaviour or optimisation of logistics are covered in section 6.
2 Policy Overview

2.1 Energy Consumption in the Transport Sector

The MURE report “Energy Efficiency Trends in the Transport Sector” (ADEME, 2012) examined trends in energy consumption and energy efficiency in the sector in detail. It found that transport has exhibited the most rapid growth in energy consumption of all sectors within the EU, and accounted for 33% of final energy consumption in the EU in 2009 (up from 31% in 2000). Road transport accounted for 81% of total EU transport consumption, and of this about 60% was accounted for by cars.

The increase in energy consumption in the sector has largely been driven by the growth in passenger mobility (Figure 2.1), particularly that in car use. However the rate of increase has more than halved over the last decade (from 1.7% per year between 1990 and 2000 to 0.6% per year), and in particular the economic crisis has slowed growth since 2008. The level of mobility varies significantly between EU countries due to differences in car ownership levels, income, country size and density, ranging from 4,211 passenger km (pkm) per capita in Romania to over 16,000 pkm per capita in Luxembourg. In general mobility has increased most significantly in most new Member States as well as Greece and Ireland. As shown in Figure 2.2, levels of car ownership per capita also vary significantly between Member States; while historically levels of car ownership in the newer Member States were significantly lower than in the older Member States, this gap has declined due to rapid growth in car ownership in a number of the new Member States.

Figure 2.1 Average annual passenger km per capita in EU

Source: ODYSSEE
Figure 2.2 Car ownership per capita

Source: ODYSSEE

In the case of freight, total tonne km in the EU has increased significantly possibly due to increased intra-EU trade following the expansion of the internal market. Between 2002 and 2007 it grew at a faster pace than GDP, however since 2008 and the economic recession, transportation of goods has shown a sharp decline (Figure 2.3).

The potential increases in transport energy consumption from these increases in the drivers of transport demand have been moderated by improvements in the energy efficiency of the transport fleet. Overall energy efficiency of transport is improving by about 1% per year, with the greatest improvements coming in passenger cars and aviation. This can be seen in the steady rate of improvement in the fuel efficiency of the fleet (Figure 2.4). Improvements in the energy efficiency of new cars has led to reductions of about 17% in their fuel consumption per km driven. The rate of improvement for goods vehicles has however slowed, and since 2008 has worsened, presumably due to the effects of the economic crisis (Figure 2.5).
Figure 2.3 Freight tonne km in EU

![Graph showing freight tonne km in EU from 1990 to 2009 for Inland Waterway, Rail, and Road.]

Source: ODYSSEE

Figure 2.4 Average fuel consumption (l/100km) of new cars

![Graph showing average fuel consumption (l/100km) for all cars, gasoline cars, and diesel cars from 1995 to 2008.]

Source: ODYSSEE
2.2 EU wide policies

Within the EU, policies to improve transport energy efficiency have been focussed on passenger cars, but have more recently been expanded to include vans and, through its inclusion in the ETS, aviation. Specific policies include:

- Regulations setting CO\(_2\) limits for new passenger cars and vans from 2012;
- Regulations requiring gear shift indicators in new passenger cars from 2012;
- Regulations requiring tyre pressure monitoring systems in new cars from 2012, the use of low rolling resistance tyres on new cars from November 2014, and the introduction of a labelling scheme specifying the rolling resistance of tyres in 2012;
- Inclusion of the aviation sector in the EU ETS from 2012.

More details of these policies and discussions of how some of these policies have been implemented in Member States are included in the relevant sections of the report.

Looking forward, the recent EU White Paper on transport (EC, 2011) has set a target for the reduction of GHG emissions from transport\(^4\), of 60% by 2050 from 1990 levels (which is equivalent to about a 70% reduction from 2008 levels), with an interim goal of reducing emissions by around 20% below their 2008 level by 2030. In addition to this there are specific targets for reducing CO\(_2\) emissions from maritime bunker fuel use (40% reduction by 2050). On the passenger side, a key aim is to phase out the use of conventionally-fuelled cars in urban transport, and on the freight side, The paper proposes that 30% of road freight over 300 km should shift to other modes such as rail or waterborne transport by 2030, and more than 50% by 2050.

---

\(^4\) The energy efficiency indicator, ODEX is calculated as a 3-year moving average and is based on fuel consumption per km for cars, buses and motorcycles, per pkm for passenger rail, per passenger for air transport, and per tkm for all freight transport. The overall trend is an average of the trend by mode weighted according to the share of each mode in the energy consumption of the transport sector.
2.3 Policy Measures in Member States

Member States have implemented a wide range of policy measures in the transport sector. The MURE database contains examples of 427 measures in the MURE database in the EU, Norway and Croatia, of which just over three-quarters (333) are ongoing (Figure 2.6). 30% of the reported measures have been implemented since 20085.

Figure 2.6 Measures in the MURE database

A wide range of policy instruments are used; the most common is fiscal measures, which now account for 28% of all measures, and are used in almost every Member State. In recent years (since 2008) there is a trend towards using fewer regulatory or normative measures, and more co-operative measures such as voluntary agreements (Figure 2.7). The number of measures reported by Member States varies considerably (Figure 2.8), with the newer Member States generally having fewer measures implemented, although there are some exceptions.

The most common aim of measures (Figure 2.9) is improving the efficiency of passenger transport, predominantly through improvements to the efficiency of cars or measures to increase the uptake of cleaner vehicles, but also through promoting modal shift. Measures are however also beginning to tackle improving the efficiency of other modes, and encouraging modal shift of freight from road to other less energy intensive modes such as sea and rail.

---

5 Measures were extracted from the database in November 2011.
Figure 2.7 Types of measures implemented

Note: Regulations includes normative measures and organisational measures includes infrastructure and social planning measures

Source: MURE database

Figure 2.8 Number of ongoing and proposed measures by country

Source: MURE database
2.4 Transport Measures in the NEEAPs

A review by the Commission of the first National Energy Efficiency Action Plans (NEEAPS) found a similar pattern in terms of types of measures and aim of measures. A large number included technological measures to improve vehicle efficiency and fiscal incentives and subsides to encourage cleaner vehicles, but fewer had policies on other strategies such as modal shift and mobility management (Table 2.1).

Overall the Commission concluded that while the increasing importance of energy use in the transport sector called for a more comprehensive and strategic approach that captured technological, infrastructural, financial, behavioural and spatial planning measures, only a few Member States had presented clear and consistent strategies in transport. In particular it found that well-described and sound packages of transport measures targeting behaviour change, including modal shift were rare and/or had been introduced as separate fragments that did not seem to form part of a coherent strategy encompassing other measures. In addition very few Member States included spatial planning aspects, although it was acknowledged that spatial and urban planning measures are related to local policies and hence may be only broadly sketched out at central level in the NEEAPS. Similarly, the wide variety of issues which need to be tackled in the transport sector, are often decided at different levels of government (central, regional and local) and fall within the competence of a number of departments and ministries.
Table 2.1 States identified as having ‘good practices’ in their first NEEAPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of measure</th>
<th>No. of Member States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax incentives and disincentives: passenger vehicles</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for public transport</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax incentives and disincentives: freight vehicles</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eco-driving</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal shift</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive strategies in transport</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility management</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tele-commuting</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-sharing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial planning provisions</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Derived from EC, 2009
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3 Modal Shift

Energy savings for road transport can be achieved indirectly by reducing traffic whilst increasing the use of public transport (such as rail, metro and buses), cycling and walking, as well as the use of more sustainable modes for the transport of goods (such as shipping and rail). This section discusses the uptake of policies that aim to encourage modal shift, either between motorised modes (e.g. private cars to public transport, heavy duty vehicles to rail) or to non-motorised (e.g. cars to bicycles).

It is interesting to note that more than half of the measures in the MURE database include an infrastructure element, i.e. involving the development, extension or improvement of the transport infrastructure, although a quarter of these also include an information / education / training element to maximise the efficiency of the initiative. Furthermore, nearly a third of the measures aim to promote or provide information, education and training, although this is once again often combined with other types of action (e.g. infrastructure, financial, fiscal, social planning / organisational and co-operative elements).

Overall, from the 27 EU countries, Norway and Croatia, all but Romania, Portugal and Lithuania have implemented national or local modal shift measures (a total of 122 measures) that aim to change traffic patterns and reduce the use of cars. Nearly a third of these measures were put in place since 2008, of which, three quarters are still on-going and most of the remaining ones are proposed. Two of the most active countries putting in place modal shift measures recently are Spain and France, whilst overall, Estonia, Germany, Ireland, Italy and the Netherlands have also been very active in putting in place modal shift measures.

With regards to the impact of modal shift measures, approximately half of the measures are expected to have a low impact, whilst nearly a quarter are expected to have a medium impact and even fewer than that are expected to have a high impact.

It should be noted that measures that have been categorised under “modal shift” and are included in the analysis in this section may also be relevant and included in the analysis of other sections. For instance, a measure may promote modal shift between motorised modes by extending / improving the transport infrastructure, whilst at the same time encouraging the uptake of cleaner vehicles. The same applies for the sub-sections on modal shift, i.e. a measure may include actions that promote both a shift between motorised modes and to non-motorised modes, thus, will be considered in both categories.

3.1 Shift between motorised modes

Modal shift between motorised modes has been considered separately for the transport of passengers and for that of goods. The first primarily targets private cars, shifting passengers towards the use of public transport, whilst the latter targets vans and heavy duty vehicles, shifting the transport of goods to more sustainable modes.

3.1.1 Modal shift for passengers

A total of 88 modal shift measures have been implemented or have been proposed, in order to encourage passengers to use more energy efficient transport modes across the EU27 countries. These can be split into the three following categories:

---

6 The MURE Transport brochure is based on measures entered in the MURE database as of December 2011.
• To develop and / or improve public transport services to encourage and incentivise passengers to use public transport services, a number of countries have implemented or proposed measures that further develop, extend or improve public transport services and infrastructure such as increase transportation links between cities and airports (Cyprus), improve and extend existing metro lines with construction of organized parking near suburban bus and metro stations (Greece), build new metro lines (Greece, Hungary), improvements in the connections and effectiveness of the existing network (Greece, Ireland) and interventions in the traffic network of buses (Greece).

• To provide financial incentives or disincentives a number of countries have implemented or proposed measures that encourage and incentivise passengers to use public transport services. A number of countries have implemented or proposed measures that either increase the cost of travelling by car / air (using a tax, or penalties) (Germany, Denmark, Hungary) or subsidise the use of public transport (Germany, Slovenia, France).

• To provide information and promote behavioural change to encourage and incentivise passengers to use public transport services, a number of countries have implemented or proposed measures that provide information, raise awareness (Slovenia, Spain) and promote behavioural change, for instance by establishing information centres or developing website tools (Estonia) that facilitate the use of public transport as well as through campaigns or education programmes raising awareness on the benefits of using public transport.

The majority of measures are complementary and not implemented in isolation to each other, thus combining, improving and extending the existing infrastructure with providing information and incentives to get passenger to switch from using their cars to public transport.

3.1.2 Modal shift for freight

A total of 43 modal shift measures have been implemented or have been proposed that encourage a shift for the transport of goods to more energy efficient modes by further developing (and/or improving) the transport infrastructure such as the Italian subsidies for road hauliers using maritime routes rather than the road network, the French Sea motorways measure that supports sea motorway routes between France, Spain and Portugal and the Spanish measure to encourage the larger participation of railways in passenger and goods transport aiming to internalise the real road costs and improve the quality of both the railway service and infrastructure.

Other measures focus on providing financial incentives or disincentives for moving goods from road to other modes of transport, such as the French measure on developing the infrastructure for combined transport: road/rail, road/river, short sea shipping by providing grants, or cost savings for acquiring high-performance combined transport equipment. The German Heavy goods vehicle toll charge measure sets a distance-based toll, for all vehicles or vehicle combinations, using the German toll-road network, with a gross vehicle weight of 12 tonnes or more and designed or used exclusively for goods transport (See Section 5.2.1.2 for more details). A similar measure is being introduced in France. Slovenia introduced a measure promoting sustainable freight transport that provides direct subsidies from the national budget to increase inter-modality and rail freight transport.

It should be noted that some measures described in the section focusing on modal shift for passengers also promote modal shift for freight, for instance, the Operational Programme on Transport 2007-2013 in Bulgaria, as well as other measures that aim to improve and / or extend the existing railway network (e.g. in Spain, Greece and Ireland). In particular most measures that introduce a toll on roads, highways, motorways etc. or that introduce a tax on fuels used by road vehicles, for instance the German Ecological Tax Reform, encourage a shift for the transport of goods to more energy efficient and cheaper modes.
3.2 Shift to non-motorised modes

A total of 61 modal shift measures have been implemented or have been proposed that encourage passengers to walk or cycle instead of using a car, by improving and developing new transport infrastructures and / or by promoting cycling or walking.

To encourage and incentivise passengers to cycle or walk instead of using a car, a number of countries have implemented or proposed measures that either develop or improve cycling and walking infrastructures (e.g. Germany, Finland, Belgium) in order to increase the attractiveness of cycling and walking and minimise any safety considerations, or make it increasingly difficult / expensive for people to use cars (e.g. Malta, Austria). It should be noted that the latter types of measures may also promote the use of public transport.

To encourage passengers to cycle or walk instead of using a car, a number of countries have also implemented or proposed measures that promote cycling and walking, either by raising awareness of the benefits of cycling and walking, through information programmes or campaigns (e.g. car free day campaigns in various cities in Finland, France, Ireland etc.) or by making bicycles readily available (see Box 3.1 for specific examples for the latter). It should be noted that in most cases these measures may also promote the use of public transport, in a few cases the use of more energy efficient modes for transport of goods as well.
Box 3.1 Increasing the availability and accessibility of bicycles

Austria: Vienna city bikes

In Vienna, 1,200 public bicycles are currently available for use from 92 stations, usually located near subway stations or other public transportation. Users must register themselves by using a debit or credit card to unlock a bicycle (with a one-off registration fee of €1) and can then hire one bike per card, rental charges can be paid via bank card, credit card or a so called Citybike Card. In the past, the scheme used to have more bicycles and stations, however, as the deposit required to use the bicycles was very low (2 €), the service was free of charge, bicycles were locked using a coin-system and no identification or registration was required, many bikes ended up outside the designated zones or got vandalised or stolen. Tourists in Vienna can also use the service even without an Austrian debit card or credit card, with the Citybike Tourist Card that can be rented and returned on a daily basis at two terminals and at many hotels in Vienna. The rental charge is 2 Euros per calendar day. If the bicycle is lost, the renter is liable for the value of the Citybike (600 €).

There are also bicycle rental system run by ‘Nextbike’ in the provinces of Lower Austria, Upper Austria, Burgenland, Salzburg and Vorarlberg. 170 bicycles can be rented at 256 stations with a rental charge of 1 € per hour or 8 € per day. In some regions the first half hour rental is free and at some stations, pedelecs (e-bikes) are available as well.

UK: London Barclays Cycle Hire

Under the Barclays Cycle Hire scheme, 8,300 bicycles are available from 567 docking stations across the city. Casual users can buy daily or weekly access at a docking station terminal, online or by phone with a credit or debit card (available for non-UK residents as well). Alternatively, regular users can become a member of the scheme (for €3.6), which allows a cheaper annual access fee, and a card key to release bikes from the docking station. The scheme is intended for short journeys. In addition to the access and usage charges, there is a late return charge (€180 if the cycle is kept for more than 24 hours or the access period runs out), a damage charge (up to €360) and a non-return charge (€360). There have been over 14 million cycle hires in the first two years of the scheme, which launched at the end of July 2010. The scheme is intended for relatively short term hire, with charges increasing substantially for longer periods, and average hire times are less than half an hour (19 minutes on week days and 29 minutes for weekend journeys).

France:

A bike rental system is available for short journeys in big cities (Nantes, Paris, Toulouse, Marseille, Lyon and Rennes etc) for both tourists and inhabitants of the city. Subscription and usage fees vary by city. In addition to these charges there are late return fees and a non-return charge. Residents that have a subscription for the local public transport network can use the same card for the bike rental system. In Paris, of the 18,000 bikes available form 1,200 rental stations, about 80% have had to be replaced due to vandalism or theft, leading to a tightening of security procedures.

Access and Usage Charges for Cycle Hire Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Access fee</th>
<th>Usage charge (€) for number of hours shown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Austrian</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Converted at €1=£0.83


It should be noted that some measures described in the section focusing on modal shift for passengers, especially the measures that provide financial disincentives for using cars or
raise awareness on the benefits of using more sustainable modes of transport, may also promote modal shift to non-motorised modes.

3.3 Mobility plans

A sustainable mobility plan aims to promote the use of different modes of transport by implementing several of the measures described in the previous sections. Key characteristics of a mobility plan include (IDAE, 2006):

- It is applicable at a local or metropolitan / urban level;
- It covers all applicable modes of transport for both freight and passengers
- It reduces adverse effects from transport, reduces traffic in roads, promotes modal shifts to cleaner and more energy efficient modes
- It guarantees the accessibility and demand for municipal mobility
- It is linked to national and regional plans

Examples of measures that may be included in such a plan include

- Promoting modal shift through campaigns and information programmes (such as walking, cycling and public transport);
- Promoting more sustainable behaviour and practices (e.g. introducing car-pooling, car sharing, parking management, congestion charges);
- Improving public transport (e.g. optimising public traffic, changing bus routes to more efficient ones, creating mobility centres, creating bus lanes and tramways, eco-driving for public transport drivers etc.)
- Improving existing transport infrastructures (e.g. developing new cycling paths, bicycle parking, pedestrian zones and sea 'motorways')

A few countries, such as Spain and France, have introduced sustainable urban mobility plans. Other countries have proposed to introduce mobility plans, such as Greece and Bulgaria (in Bulgaria it is called municipal programmes for public transport optimisation).

Mobility management can be incorporated in mobility plans. The European Platform on Mobility Management (EPOMM) is an international partnership that aims to promote and further develop mobility management in Europe. According to EPOMM mobility management is "a concept to promote sustainable transport and manage the demand for car use by changing travellers’ attitudes and behaviour. At the core of Mobility Management are "soft" measures like information and communication, organising services and coordinating activities of different partners."

Some countries have introduced urban mobility plans at a local level targeting specific groups or area, e.g. schools and companies in France to promote the use of public or collective transport either through information provision or grants and subsidies. In Malta proposed Green travel plans for the public sector target specifically government employees and large employers in the Valletta/Floriana area. In Belgium, the Flemish government intends to develop cross-network collection services for the transport of schoolchildren. In Spain, the Action Plan 2011-2020, includes a measure on "Transport Plans in firms and activity centres" that aims to establish transport plans for all firms and activity centres (such as industrial estates, leisure centres, hospitals, educational centres), to reduce the number of journeys from low-occupancy private cars from and to the workplace / study place.

---

7 http://www.epomm.eu/index.php
4 Vehicle Efficiency

4.1 Cars

Measures to improve the efficiency of cars are generally undertaken at the EU level. As discussed in section 2, the key EU policy to improve the efficiency of cars is a 2009 regulation\(^8\) which sets CO\(_2\) limits for new passenger cars from passenger cars from 2012. By 2015 the fleet average (for each manufacturer) for new passenger cars must be less than or equal to 130 g CO\(_2\)/km with a long term target of 95 g CO\(_2\)/km in 2020. Intermediate targets are also set, by 2012, 65% of each manufacturer’s cars must comply with the 130 g CO\(_2\) limit value, 75% in 2013 and 80% in 2014. Manufacturers who exceed the limit will have to pay an excess emissions premium for each car registered. This premium amounts to €5 for the first g/km of exceedance, €15 for the second g/km, €25 for the third g/km, and €95 for each subsequent g/km. From 2019, the first g/km of exceedance will cost €95. The Directive was brought in after a target (of an average emission of 140 g CO\(_2\)/km for new cars sold in 2008), set in an earlier voluntary agreement with the three main car manufacturers’ associations for Europe, Japan and Korea\(^9\) was not achieved.

Incentives to encourage the uptake of more fuel efficient cars, such as graduating car purchase tax and road tax on the basis of fuel consumption or CO\(_2\) emissions, and raising consumer awareness through the labelling of cars with their fuel efficiency and CO\(_2\) emissions are discussed in Section 5.

Other EU measures to improve the efficiency of cars as driven in the real world include legislation on tyres and gear shift indicators. A 2009 regulation\(^4\) requires all new car models to be fitted with tyre pressure monitoring systems by November 2012 and all new cars by November 2014. The systems will alert the driver when the tyre pressure falls by 20% from its normal warm running pressure, as under-inflated tyres can increase fuel consumption. Tyre pressure monitoring systems are estimated to reduce CO\(_2\) emissions by around 2.5% for a typical car (Álvarez, 2008). The same Regulation requires all new car models to be equipped with low rolling resistance tyres by November 2013, and all new cars by November 2014. A second phase, with stricter rolling resistance limits, will apply for new car models from November 2017 and all new cars from November 2018. Additional consumer information will be provided by a tyre labelling scheme which will enter into force in 2012\(^10\). The fuel efficiency (rolling resistance), wet grip and external rolling noise performances of tyres will be displayed by means of a grading (A-G scale). Expected fuel savings from the increased use of fuel efficient tyres are estimated at between 2.4 and 6.6 mtce (million tonnes of oil equivalent) in 2020 depending on the speed of market transformation.

The technical CO\(_2\) reduction potential of gear shift indicators is estimated at 6%, but real reductions will be lower than this, depending on the degree to which drivers respond to the indicator. All new car models should have gear shift indicators by 2012 and all new cars by 2014\(^11\).

---


\(^{9}\) European Automobile Manufacturers Association (ACEA), Japan Automobile Manufacturers association (JAMA) and Korean Automobile Manufacturers Association


\(^{11}\) Regulation (EC) No 661/2009 of the European Parliament and Council of 13 July 2009 concerning type-approval requirements for the general safety of motor vehicles, their trailers and systems, components and separate technical units intended therefore
4.2 Vans and HGVs

4.2.1 Vans

A similar regulation to that setting a limit for CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from cars was adopted for vans in May 2011\textsuperscript{12}. The regulation will cut emissions from new vans to an average of 175 g CO\textsubscript{2}/km by 2017 – with the reduction phased in from 2014 - and to 147 g CO\textsubscript{2}/km by 2020. Similar penalties as for passenger cars apply to manufacturers exceeding the limit. The Vans Regulation gives manufacturers additional incentives to produce vehicles with extremely low emissions (below 50g/km). Each low-emitting van will be counted as 3.5 vehicles in 2014 and 2015, 2.5 in 2016 and 1.5 vehicles in 2017.

4.2.2 HGVs

In the case of heavy goods vehicles (HGVs), there is no EU wide legislation to improve fuel efficiency. This is partly because agreeing emissions standards for HGVs is much more complex for HGVs than cars, as the HGV fleet is much more heterogeneous than the car fleet. There is a diversity of body types, and several types of gross weight class, axle numbers and variations in the nature of auxiliary equipment, meaning that there is a large degree of variety, particularly for articulated vehicles. Such vehicles typically combine sub-systems (engines, vehicle body, trailers and ancillary equipment such as refrigeration) produced by different manufacturers, and individual manufacturers therefore, have much less control over the fuel performance of the final lorry than their counterparts in the car sector (McKinnon, 2008).

A number of countries have sought to improve the energy efficiency of HGVs and the efficiency with which they are operated however, using a variety of policies and measures. Box 4.1 gives details of a subsidy scheme in Denmark aimed at improving the aerodynamic performance of trailers, and of a competition run in the UK to bring the developers of some of the most promising technologies for improving fuel efficiency to the attention of key vehicle and component manufacturers and fleet operators.

An approach being trialled in Denmark and the Netherlands focuses on improving the overall efficiency of freight transport is the use of longer road trains (or longer heavier vehicles). While European legislation controls the maximum dimensions of vehicles, and the maximum weight that guarantees free circulation within the EU, it permits trials and the use of longer, heavier vehicles under certain strict conditions within national boundaries. Long road trains of 25m are permitted on the major part of the road network in Finland and Sweden, where transport distances are often large, and are also used in Germany. The trial in Denmark, which began in 2008, has allowed longer road trains on a limited road network consisting mainly of motorways and other intercity roads, but also a number of roads connecting company sites to the designated road network. The longer road trains offer fuel savings of 15% per tonne km. In the Netherlands, a large scale five year trial, which will end in November 2012 has been assessing, fuel savings and impacts on traffic safety, infrastructure and modal split (see Box 4.2). To date, experience has been positive and if the final evaluation confirms this, then longer heavier vehicles will become the normal combination in the Netherlands.

In Denmark, the Danish Transport Authority began operating a €5.6 million grant scheme in 2010 to subside the price of aerodynamic devices for trucks and trailers. Initially subsidies have been paid for side skirts for trailers and low floor volume trailers; but are likely to be expanded to include rear-mounted devices aimed at reducing rear drag. Subsidies are 55% of the price of side skirts for trailers, up to a maximum of about €2000 per vehicle. Fuel savings from side skirts are estimated to be about 5% and with the subsidy, payback times are about one and a half years. However, only a moderate interest has been shown, and the Danish NEEAP reports that applications for subsidies were only €40,000.

In the UK the Low Carbon Vehicle Partnership, which is a public-private partnership that exists to accelerate a sustainable shift to lower carbon vehicles, ran a competition for supply chain companies and technology suppliers offering technologies which could reduce CO₂ emissions from heavy goods vehicles by around 20%. The technologies also needed to be able to be commercially deployed in 3-5 years; be on-vehicle; and be compatible or easily integrated into the existing transport, energy and fuel infrastructures. The prize was for the companies to present winning innovative products to a group of key vehicle and component manufacturers and fleet operators, thus helping them to identify the most promising technologies that reduce fuel consumption. The solutions include products to: use dual fuel (gas-diesel); improve trailer designs to increase carriage capacity and also to reduce drag; optimise driving efficiency though use of a dynamic throttle control system; adopt a hybrid-hydraulic power-train and a separate hybrid systems based on high-speed flywheels.

Source: MURE, Danish NEEAP, and LCVP website (http://www.lowcvp.org.uk)

**Box 4.1 Schemes to encourage energy efficient technologies for HGVs**

In Denmark, the Danish Transport Authority began operating a €5.6 million grant scheme in 2010 to subside the price of aerodynamic devices for trucks and trailers. Initially subsidies have been paid for side skirts for trailers and low floor volume trailers; but are likely to be expanded to include rear-mounted devices aimed at reducing rear drag. Subsidies are 55% of the price of side skirts for trailers, up to a maximum of about €2000 per vehicle. Fuel savings from side skirts are estimated to be about 5% and with the subsidy, payback times are about one and a half years. However, only a moderate interest has been shown, and the Danish NEEAP reports that applications for subsidies were only €40,000.

In the UK the Low Carbon Vehicle Partnership, which is a public-private partnership that exists to accelerate a sustainable shift to lower carbon vehicles, ran a competition for supply chain companies and technology suppliers offering technologies which could reduce CO₂ emissions from heavy goods vehicles by around 20%. The technologies also needed to be able to be commercially deployed in 3-5 years; be on-vehicle; and be compatible or easily integrated into the existing transport, energy and fuel infrastructures. The prize was for the companies to present winning innovative products to a group of key vehicle and component manufacturers and fleet operators, thus helping them to identify the most promising technologies that reduce fuel consumption. The solutions include products to: use dual fuel (gas-diesel); improve trailer designs to increase carriage capacity and also to reduce drag; optimise driving efficiency though use of a dynamic throttle control system; adopt a hybrid-hydraulic power-train and a separate hybrid systems based on high-speed flywheels.

Source: MURE, Danish NEEAP, and LCVP website (http://www.lowcvp.org.uk)

**Box 4.2 Trial of longer and heavier goods vehicles in the Netherlands**

A longer and heavier good vehicle (LHV) or ‘Ecocombi’, can be up to 25.25 m long with a weight of 60 tonnes, whereas (in the Netherlands) an ordinary lorry is at most 18.75 m long, with a weight of 50 tonnes. The Ecocombi vehicles are able to combine parts that are standard throughout Europe so the additional costs of assembling the parts of the vehicle are relatively low. The vehicle parts can also be used separately as normal vehicles, making this a flexible concept. As the fuel consumption of an Ecocombi is only marginally higher than the consumption of an ordinary lorry, transport of goods with an Ecocombi saves 4 to 30% on fuel per lorry.

A large-scale trial of LHVs started in November 2007 and will end in November 2012. There are a number of requirements for participation in the trial. The LHV drivers must take additional examinations, and vehicles must have electronic braking systems, axle load meters and appropriate markings. The LHVs are only permitted to use motorways and main roads to commercial sites.

An intensive evaluation in close cooperation with hauliers participating in the scheme has demonstrated that the use of LHVs has been unproblematic. They are hardly noticed in daily use, more than 90% weigh no more than 50 tonnes and there have been no incidents that can be related to the additional length or weight of LHVs. This suggests that LHVs are a cost-effective solution particularly for bulk transport and for distances of over 75 km. In the Netherlands the largest users are retailers, flower transporters and container transporters.

If the trial continues to demonstrate positive results for the environment and no negative effects on traffic safety, the infrastructure and the modal split, longer heavier goods vehicles will become the ‘normal’ combination in the Netherlands.

Another approach taken to improving the overall fuel efficiency of freight transport is the use of voluntary agreements. In France, a voluntary agreement charter, drawn up in partnership with the trade associations, the National Federation of Road Carriers (FNTR) and the Federation of the Haulage Companies and Logistics of France (TLF) was launched in 2007. The number of companies signing the charter has risen significantly each year, and by the end of 2010, 233 road transport companies running more than 44,000 vehicles had signed up. Companies make a commitment for 3 years, developing a plan with concrete actions to decrease their fuel consumption. The target reductions agreed by the end of 2010 equate to a reduction of around 8% in fuel consumption per unit of activity. In Finland, the
Government has an Energy Efficiency Agreement for Freight Transport and Logistics, with the Finnish Transport and Logistics (SKAL) and its member associations, the Association of Logistic Enterprises in Finland (LL) and the rail company VR. The agreement which covers the period 2008-2016 is a continuation of former agreements and programmes in the sector; and is intended to realise about one-third of the total savings target for the transport sector set in the NEEAP. It sets a numerical target for participants of a 1% annual improvement from 2008 to 2016 in the specific energy consumption (MJ/tonne-km) of freight transport, and the aim is for 60% of the companies or registered vehicles in the sector to be covered by the agreement. Rather than signing an agreement, companies register by joining EMISTRA\textsuperscript{13} (Use of the Energy and Environmental Accounting and Reporting System for Transport and Logistics Sector), a nationwide energy and environmental accounting and reporting system for transport and logistics businesses.

In Denmark businesses and municipalities can commit to a reduction in fuel consumption though the green certification scheme implemented by the Danish Transport Authority. To achieve certification, participants, must map their fleet and fuel consumption and set a CO\textsubscript{2} reduction target to be achieved within the following year, with an action plan detailing the CO\textsubscript{2} reducing projects to be implemented e.g. by introducing more energy efficient vehicles and making more efficient use of existing fleet. They must evaluate and report progress after one year, after which they can be recertified if they achieve their goal and set a new CO\textsubscript{2} reduction target for the following year.

### 4.3 Public transport

In Finland, the voluntary agreements approach used in the freight sector is also being used to improve energy efficiency in the public transport sector. In Finland, there is a voluntary agreement between the Government and the Finnish Bus and Coach Association (LAL) and the VR rail company (long-distance transport), which individual companies join by signing an Association Agreement. The aim is for 80% of public transport in 2016 to be covered by the agreement and for signatories to achieve a 1% annual reduction in energy consumption in the public transport covered by the Agreement during the 2008–2016 period. Participants must adopt a recognised environmental management system, such as ISO 14001, EMAS or BAK, must report their fuel consumption and other energy consumption data into a database, to allow monitoring of energy efficiency. Annual monitoring reports will be prepared, and after every three years, the scheme will be evaluated to establish whether it needs to be reviewed. France is also planning to extend the scheme where road transport companies make a commitment to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions to road passenger transport.

More generally, in the longer term improvements in the energy efficiency of public transport fleet should be aided by the European Directive 2009/33/EC on the promotion of clean and energy-efficient road transport vehicles. This requires that public authorities and some other operators, take into account the impact of energy consumption, CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and other pollutant emissions during the operational lifetime of the vehicles, either by setting technical specifications for energy and environmental or including energy and environmental impacts in the purchasing decision. As public transport fleets are renewed then this should lead to an overall improvement in the energy efficiency of the fleet. This could be of particular importance in some of the newer Member states, where public transport has a relatively high share of passenger mobility, but the fleet is relatively old and inefficient. In Estonia, renewal of the public transport fleet has been aided by revenue from the sale of assigned amount units (AAU) to Spain under a green investment system (GIS). From the first sale in July 2010, €21million were invested in about 100 energy efficient and environment friendly buses which will be replacing the most out-of-date vehicles in use on regional and outlying urban routes. €45 million from the second sale in May 2011 will be invested in about 15 modern

\textsuperscript{13} At publication of this report the EMISTRA monitoring and reporting system has been replaced by PIHI monitoring and reporting system. The basic principle of joining through registering to the system has not changed, just the tool has. The name will be updated in the MURE database shortly.
city trams, for the city of Tallinn which will use electricity generated from renewable energy sources. Currently, the average age of trams in Tallinn is 25 years.
5 Encouraging Uptake of Cleaner Vehicles

5.1 Labelling

The majority of labelling policies are aimed at passenger cars, however there are a few policies that are aimed at tyres, road freight vehicles, and France has a labelling policy for aviation. There are 34 transport related labelling policies in the MURE database, 80% are related to new vehicles and 70% are aimed at cars or passenger vehicles.

Car Labelling

The EU vehicle labelling Directive\(^{14}\), requires for new passenger cars that:

- information on fuel economy and CO\(_2\) emissions is shown on a fuel economy label to be displayed at the point of sale;
- a guide on fuel economy and CO\(_2\) emissions should be available at the point of sale and from designated bodies;
- a poster (or a display) showing the official fuel consumption and CO\(_2\) emissions data of all new passenger car models displayed or offered for sale or lease at, or through, the respective point of sale;
- all promotional literature must contain the official fuel consumption and specific CO\(_2\) emission data for the passenger car model to which it refers.

These minimum requirements have been implemented in all Member States, but some have gone beyond the Directive in terms of additional legislative and voluntary requirements for the information tools. This is discussed in more detail in Box 5.1.

In addition some countries have extended the car labelling scheme. For example, Denmark has extended the scheme to Light Goods Vehicles (see Box 5.2). The UK enables a label for used cars to be generated on a voluntary basis including the same information as the required label for new passenger cars (data are available for cars registered from 2001). An online database was initially developed by the Low Carbon Vehicle Partnership (LowCVP) with support from the Retail Motor Industry Federation, the Society of Motor Manufacturers and Traders and the UK government; the scheme was originally run by the Vehicle Certification Agency (VCA), but is now provided by two external organisations. Dealers register with the organisation, and can them submit registration details for the used vehicle online to receive a label; participation is free. Finland also has a scheme for labelling used cars, and France is considering introducing a mandatory label for used car registered from 2004.

In Finland, a revised energy label looking at CO\(_2\), which more closely resembled the energy efficiency labels for consumer appliances, was introduced in 2010. Use of the new label, which makes comparison between cars of different sizes easier as ratings also take into account the vehicle weight, is voluntary. As well as fuel efficiency data, the EU CO\(_2\) emission limit for the same weight category is given, together with emissions of pollutants and fuel costs based on an assumed annual mileage (of 18 000 km mileage per year).

\(^{14}\) Directive 1999/94/EC on }Passenger Car Labelling on fuel economy rating
**Box 5.1 Car labelling - differences in implementation**

While some countries simply require a label that lists the required information (e.g. Hungary), others have prescribed a format. Several countries have based the label on the household product energy labelling format, a comparative label that uses colours in a scaled format. Some countries (e.g. Denmark, France, Romania and the UK) use an absolute label format, whereby each car is categorized according to a comparison against all cars, but others (e.g. Germany and Spain) use a relative scheme where a car is categorized according to a comparison of ‘similar’ cars. Denmark plans to revise the label requirements in 2012 to include 3 new classes to the label, “A+”, “A++”, and “A+++”, as over 50% of new passenger cars in 2010 were rated as class “A”.

Some Member States (e.g. UK, Germany, Denmark, and Finland) include additional information on running costs, including fuel cost and vehicle circulation tax.

Germany requires an indication of the electricity consumption, which aims to address the increasing development of electric mobility. The CO₂ emissions of electric vehicles are currently listed as ‘zero’ on the labels (as based on tail pipe emissions), so this action aims to provide more relevant information to the consumer.

All countries produced a printed guide to the fuel economy of cars, but many (e.g. Spain, Poland, UK, Belgium, and Denmark, France) also provide a searchable online database. This has the advantage that it is far more easily (and cheaply) kept up to date than printed guide, which may take several months to prepare. It can also be easier for users to find and compare information on different vehicles of interest using such a database.

Additional measures taken by countries with regards to promotional materials include a requirement in Denmark for advertising to include (as well as the mandatory information on CO₂ and fuel consumption), the colour coded arrow indicating the cars energy class (with a minimum size specified).

**Example of Danish car advertising with inclusion of colour coded arrow**

![Example of Danish car advertising with inclusion of colour coded arrow](image)
Box 5.2 Extending labelling to vans in Denmark

In 2010 the Danish Transport Authority expanded legislation on labelling of cars to also cover light goods vehicles (vans) up to 3,500kg: The main reasoning behind the application of the label to vans was that vans are included in the annual taxation system used for passenger vehicles, and it was therefore logical to bring them into the same labelling system. Vans are also often bought for non-commercial reasons in Denmark. The labelling scheme uses the same fuel economy classes as are used for labelling cars.

The implementation authority, the Danish Transport Authority (Trafiksytrelsen), acknowledges that labelling of vans is more troublesome than for passenger cars due to the number of model variants – for example there may be more than 20 variants of a model, so that e.g. in July 2011 there were 3735 different vans listed on the official website (http://www.hvorlangtpaaliteren.dk/sw163529.asp) with energy labels. However, each type of van that is available for purchase from a showroom must have an official energy label. If the information is not available for fuel consumption of that specific model then a formula is applied to estimate the fuel consumption per km. This estimation will result in a higher annual tax than if they were able to calculate fuel consumption. Typically manufacturers are able to provide information on the fuel consumption of a van under various different configurations so this is not a problem.

The labelling of vans in Denmark applies to the vehicle on display. The information on labelling is also available online as in the same format as for passenger cars. However, other elements of the EU car labelling legislation have not been implemented for vans. For example a hardcopy guide is not produced for vans nor is it a requirement for a poster display at points of sale.

Sources: MURE database; AEA and TEPR (2011)

5.2 Taxation

5.2.1 Road transport

One of the most common fiscal instruments used to encourage the uptake of more energy efficient vehicles or modal shift is taxation. In the case of road transport, a wide range of taxes apply, including:

- annual circulation tax (road tax),
- vehicle purchase tax,
- infrastructure charge (tolls on specific parts of the network, e.g. motorways, tunnels, bridges),
- parking fees,
- congestion charge,
- company car taxation
- fuel excise tax
- insurance tax

5.2.1.1 Car Purchase and Circulation Taxes

The two most commonly used tax related policies to encourage the uptake of cleaner vehicles are differentiation of car registration taxes paid on purchasing a car, and annual circulation tax on the basis of energy efficiency. Historically, car purchase taxes in most countries were usually linked to the type of fuel, size of car and status (private versus company). However 16 Member States and Norway have now introduced registration taxes which are dependent on the fuel efficiency or CO₂ emissions of the vehicle. These vary from systems offering rebates for cars with low CO₂ emissions and surcharges for high CO₂ emissions to simple banding systems based on CO₂ emissions, and to systems where tax is calculated as the product of CO₂ emissions per km and a value per g of CO₂, which increases the higher the CO₂ emissions rate. There are also hybrid systems which also take into account engine size or the classification of the car for other emissions. In the case of Austria, which was the first Member State to introduce a differentiated, scheme based on fuel
consumption in 1992, the tax was reformed in 2008 to a bonus/malus system where cars with relative low CO$_2$-emissions get tax breaks and cars with higher CO$_2$-emissions have to pay a higher tax. Fewer Member States (seven) have a differentiated system for annual road tax.

**Table 5.1 Date of introduction of differentiated tax rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Member States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Car Purchase Tax</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>UK (company cars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Cyprus, France, Netherlands, Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Luxembourg, Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Spain, Finland, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Greece, Latvia, Slovenia, UK (private cars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Annual tax</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>France (company cars only), Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Netherlands, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2.1.2 Infrastructure Charges

While a number of countries have some toll roads where a charge is made for all vehicles using the road, a number have introduced tolls specifically aimed at heavy goods vehicles, with the aim of encouraging the use of less polluting vehicles, maximising the efficiency of freight distribution, and encouraging modal shift of freight. Examples of such schemes in the New Member States are given in Box 5.3.

One of the most innovative schemes, which is much more targeted, is the distance based HGV toll system in Germany which uses GPS satellite systems, rather than conventional toll booths, and links charges to the vehicles emissions category. Revenue from the system is intended to be used to subsidise rail and water transport infrastructure. More details of the scheme are given in Box 5.4.

France is also introducing a levy on HGVs to encourage modal shift for long distances transport and improvements in freight logistics. Legislation in 2009 paved the way for the introduction of a charge on a per kilometre basis for HGVs using the national road network (where tolls are not already in place). The levy collected will be allocated to the Agency responsible for the national road network to finance improvements in the road infrastructure. It is planned that implementation and operation of the scheme will be carried out by a third party, under a public-private partnership contract, but due to legal challenges in the process of appointing a supplier, the scheme has not yet begun. It is hoped that these issues will be resolved in time to introduce the charge in 2013.
Box 5.3 Tolls for HGVs in new member states

**Latvia** is planning to introduce tolls for vehicles above 12 tonne for the use of motorways (with some exemptions e.g. for vehicles transporting agricultural and timber products). Tolls are based on the Euro class of the vehicle (and no. of axles) with less polluting vehicles (Euro IV and above) paying about 75% of rates for the most polluting vehicles, and are on a time basis (e.g. weekly, monthly, yearly) rather than distance based. Initially due to be introduced in 2011, implementation has now been delayed to 2014. A tool will be used to maintain and develop the motorway.

**Poland** introduced a toll for HGVs above 12 tonne for use of the national road network, again on a time basis. Vehicles are split into two classes Euro 0 and 1, and Euro 2 and above, with the annual rate for the less polluting vehicles being about 80% of that for older vehicles. The rate is about €760 per year for Euro 0 & 1 vehicles and €630 per year for Euro 2 and above vehicles. There are separate km based charges for toll motorways, but these take no account of the age of the vehicle.

Box 5.4 Heavy goods vehicle toll charges in Germany

Tolls for heavy goods vehicles (with a gross vehicle weight of 12 tonnes or more) were introduced at the start of 2005 in Germany. All users of the German toll road network, irrespective of their country of origin, must pay for the distance travelled on toll-routes. Since 2009, substantial differentiation has been introduced into the toll rates, to take account of the truck’s emission category and number of axles, and charges vary between €0.14 and €0.29 per kilometre. The average rate is about €0.163/km, representing a significant increase from the average rate pre 2009 of €0.135/km (Kfz-Auskunft 2008).

The toll system is organised by Toll Collect (www.toll-collect.de) and a number of payment methods have been set up in order to ensure that collection of road tolls does not disrupt traffic flow, by requiring vehicles to slow down or stop, or restrict them to a designated lane. Vehicles regularly using the toll network can be fitted with an on board unit, in which the driver confirms a small amount of data before the start of the journey. Using GPS satellite signals and other positioning sensors, the on board unit identifies the route segments travelled, calculates the toll charges due and transmits this information by mobile communications to Toll Collect for invoicing. Vehicle users may also log their journey in advance over the internet, or at around 3,500 toll-station terminals located close to toll-route entry points, at motorway/trunk road services and lay-bys and at fuel stations in Germany and in bordering countries.

It was originally estimated that the introduction of tolls would save about 33 PJ (or 2.4 Mt. CO₂) in 2010, with savings increasing by 2.7 PJ once stronger differentiation of the levy was introduced (Öko-Institut et al. 2008, 2009). In the second German NEEAP (BMWi, 2011) the impact of the measure is quantified as much smaller however, saving only 5 PJ per year.

On board unit and toll station kiosk

Source: www.toll-collect.de

Source: MURE data base, NEEAP
5.2.1.3 Company car taxation

Box 5.5 Company car CO\textsubscript{2} taxation in UK

Employees provided with a company car by their employer must pay tax on the value of the benefit of having that car. In April 2002, the UK Company Car Tax system which determines the tax that must be paid was revised to make it carbon-based. The aim was to incentivise the purchase of energy efficient vehicles and to remove a perverse incentive in the previous system which led to unnecessary business miles being driven in order to reach the threshold mileage for obtaining a reduction in tax. It was reformed again in 2009 to set new rates of company car taxation for 2011/12 onwards.

Company car tax is calculated by applying the appropriate percentage to the list price of the car. The appropriate percentage is related to the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of the car and ranges from 15 per cent (for cars with lower CO\textsubscript{2} emissions) to 35 per cent in 1 per cent increments for every 5g/km of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions for a petrol car. Most diesel cars attract a 3 per cent supplement (though the maximum is also capped at 35 per cent). A lower rate of 10 per cent for cars with CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of exactly 120 g/km or less (13 per cent for most diesels) was introduced from 6 April 2008 to promote environmentally friendly vehicles. These cars are known as “qualifying low emissions cars” (QUALECs). A lower rate of 9 per cent applies to electrically propelled cars, and there are also discounts for electric/petrol hybrid cars and Euro IV standard diesel cars registered before 1 January 2006. Discounts were also given to cars propelled by bi-fuels, road fuel gas and bioethanol, although these reductions have now been removed. Over the next few years the criteria for lower tax rates will gradually be made more stringent, as shown in the Table below.

![Company Car Tax Table]

According to the HMRC (2006) Report on the evaluation of the Company Car Tax Reform, it is leading to significant reductions in CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from cars. This was around 0.2 - 0.3 MtC for 2005 and ex-ante predictions were that this might increase to around 0.35 - 0.65 MtC for 2010 and reach a maximum level of savings in the long run of around 0.4 - 0.9 MtC per year towards the end of the next decade. Average CO\textsubscript{2} emissions figures from company cars were around 15g/km lower in 2004 than would have been the case if the reforms had not taken place. Around 60% of company car drivers who were given a choice of company car by their employers were influenced by the Company Car Tax reform and as a result chose cars with lower CO\textsubscript{2} emissions figures.

There are a wide range of different effects from the Company Car Tax reform and a full evaluation will take a number of years. This is because company car drivers typically change their cars on a three to four-year cycle, after which company cars then penetrate the second-hand private market.

5.2.2 Aviation

Only a few countries have taxes on air travel. The UK introduced the UK Air Passenger Duty, as an environmental tax in 1994, with current charges depending on the distance travelled ranging from €30 for short haul to €218 for long haul\textsuperscript{15}. More recently Austria has introduced a levy. The Austrian “Aviation Tax” (Luftverkehrsteuer) was introduced in April 2011. The tax is levied on all departing flights in Austria operated by commercial airlines. The charges per passenger are €8 for short-haul, €20 for mid-haul and €35 for long-haul

\textsuperscript{15} £26 for short haul to £188 for long haul converted at €1=£0.86
flights. France also has a flight tax but it is relatively low at only €2 per person and is not differentiated by distance.

Aviation taxes were introduced in the Netherlands in July 2008, resulting in ticket price increases ranging from €11.25 to €45 per ticket. However the tax was withdrawn from July 2009 due to doubts over its efficacy, as instead of reducing the number of flights taken, there was evidence that travellers were using airports in neighbouring countries (Belgium and Germany) where there was no tax\textsuperscript{16}.

From the start of 2012, emissions from all domestic and international flights that arrive at or depart from an EU airport are covered by the EU Emissions Trading System. In addition to the 27 EU Member States, the EU ETS for aviation covers three EEA-EFTA States (Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway) and will extend to Croatia by 1 January 2014 due to the country’s planned accession to the EU on 1 July 2013. Like industrial installations, airlines will receive tradable allowances covering a certain level of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from their flights per year. After each year operators must surrender a number of allowances equal to their actual emissions in that year.

5.3 Electric vehicles

The range and magnitude of incentives for the uptake of electric vehicles is particularly wide and may consist of grants, reductions in taxes and exemptions from taxes and other charges (e.g. parking charges). Some countries use a combination of different measures; for example Portugal awards a premium for purchase of electric vehicles, as well as exempting them from circulation and registration taxes.

There are over 40 policies spread across 16 EU countries aimed at increasing the uptake of electric vehicles, nearly half of which were put in place since 2008. Of the total policies, three quarters are still ongoing and more are planned to start in 2012.

A definition of the different types of electric vehicle is set out in Box 5.6.

Studies\textsuperscript{17} indicate that the most significant barriers to the uptake of electric vehicles relate to:

- **High upfront cost:** the premium is currently around €15,000 to €40,000, per vehicle, potentially decreasing to €5,000 in the longer term (ETC, 2009). The cost of charging an electric car is lower than the cost of refuelling a petrol vehicle; however, there is extensive evidence that consumers are more influenced by purchase prices and do not take into account savings over the lifetime of the vehicle (Ecolane, 2011).

- **Issues related to charging:** limited range, inconvenient charging and lack of charging infrastructure. “Range anxiety” is the fear of being stranded due to insufficient battery capacity. Electric vehicles will usually meet the daily needs of most users, however, typical home charging points take 7-8 hours to charge a battery, which can be inconvenient.


\textsuperscript{17} Studies include: Element Energy, 2009; ARUP, 2008 and FIA, 2011.
**Box 5.6 Definition of electric vehicles**
The term "electric vehicles" may encompass several different types of configuration including:

- **Battery electric vehicles (BEV):** run on the battery alone, and have no auxiliary on-board power.
- **Extended-range electric vehicles (EREV):** the battery is the main energy source, but a combustion engine driven range-extender running on hydrocarbons is used to sustain the battery where distances exceed the electric range.
- **Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV):** the battery is the main energy source, but a combustion engine running on hydrocarbons is used after batteries are depleted.

Conventional hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), where the drive comes from the internal combustion engine as opposed to the electric motor, are not in this study considered to be electric vehicles, but may still be included in the scope of some EU policies.

### 5.3.1 Grants
To address the high upfront costs of electric vehicles, the most frequently used financial incentives are:

1. Reductions in car registration tax (covered in section 5.2 above)
2. Reductions in annual circulation tax (covered in section 5.2 above)
3. Grants at the point of purchase.

Grants for the purchase of electric vehicles have received much attention in Europe. Grants at the point of purchase refer to bonuses or reductions in price when a vehicle is bought, as opposed to other measures where the consumers claim a rebate back later e.g. through reductions in personal income tax. In the UK, the maximum level of subsidy is £5,000 (€5,720) or 25% of the vehicle purchase price. The total budget is £43 million (€49.2 million), which would support the sales of 8,600 vehicles assuming each EV purchaser receives the maximum subsidy of £5,000. Luxembourg offers up to €3,000 per vehicle provided the purchaser agrees to buy electricity from renewable energy sources. In Portugal, purchasers of the first 5,000 electric vehicles can receive a premium of €5,000, and could qualify for an additional €1,500 if they simultaneously scrap their old car.

The UK implemented its Plug-in Car grant scheme in January 2011 to encourage the uptake of ultra low emissions vehicles (ULEVs). Box 5.7 provides a summary of the scheme. Spain has implemented a grant scheme to encourage the uptake of EV and PHEV, allowing grants of up to €6,000 per electric vehicle. This action is funded with an M€240 budget (M€ 80 in 2011 & M€160 in 2012). The grant scheme is part of a wider strategy to increase the uptake of electric vehicles see Box 5.8 for details.

### 5.3.2 Infrastructure
Public charging infrastructure is an important means of counteracting “range anxiety”, which is the fear of being stranded due to insufficient battery capacity. Although most trips can easily be accommodated by modern electric cars, consumers prefer to buy cars that are capable of covering much longer distances. For instance, over 80% of car journeys are below 20km, and most Europeans drive less than 40km per day (ETC, 2009). **Vehicle range** and **inconvenient charging**, are starting to be addressed by improvements in technology, for example extended range vehicles, fast charging 3-4 hours and rapid charging 30 minutes as opposed to 7-8 hours slow charging, and new business models such as battery hire combined with battery swapping stations reducing charging time to several minutes.
Lack of charging infrastructure is being addressed by a number of EU countries by implementing regional policies and programmes to increase access to charging points along strategic transport routes and in major cities, as uptake of electric vehicles is likely to be higher in cities compared to rural areas. For example schemes to increase the availability of charging points have been implemented in Amsterdam, Berlin, London, Paris, Switzerland, and Denmark (see Box 5.9). City programmes serve as demonstration projects to gather data on consumer behaviour which can be used to improve subsequent projects. City authorities may partner with a private firm to ensure consistency and compatibility across all charging points. In many cases, access is controlled by cards which enable users to be billed on a subscription or pay-per-use basis.

Standardisation is a particular concern in terms of battery layouts and plug design, as a harmonised standard will likely need to be in place before significant rollout. The European Standardisation Organisation has been mandated to develop a common charging system. A further issue is related to the use of a common billing system in order to ensure interoperability between different areas.

**Box 5.7: UK Plug-In Grants**

The Plug-In Car Grant\(^\text{18}\) commenced in January 2011 to help both private consumers and businesses purchase an electric, plug in hybrid or hydrogen fuelled car. Motorists purchasing a qualifying ultra-low emission car are able to receive a grant of 25% of the vehicle price, up to a value of £5,000. These vehicles will, initially, be more expensive 'up front' than conventional cars due to low production volumes and the high cost of the battery. The grant will help to provide a more level playing field for new technologies, until the growing market drives purchase costs to a more competitive level. There is funding provision of around £300m to support consumer incentives over the lifetime of the Parliament.

The approach is ‘technology neutral’. This means that cars with tailpipe emissions of 75gCO\(_2\)/km or less, including electric, plug-in hybrid and hydrogen-fuelled cars are all potentially eligible for the subsidy. However, hydrogen-vehicles are, as yet, less available on the open market than electric and plug-In hybrid options. Currently five approved vehicle models are available on the UK market, all of which are BEV, it is expected that five more approved models will become available in 2012, one of which will be EREV and one PHEV. As of 30\(^\text{th}\) September 2011, 786 claims have been made through the Plug-In Car Grant scheme, with Society of Motor Manufacturers and Traders (SMMT) data showing that 910 cars eligible for the Grant were registered over the same period. Relative to the number of ultra-low emission cars registered in previous years, this is a step change and is part of a wider trend in the uptake of alternatively fuelled vehicles. SMMT data also shows that alternatively-fuelled vehicles represent a growing share of the total market, with registrations rising to 1.3% market share after a 9.1% rise in volumes over the year to date.

---

Box 5.8: Integral strategy to encourage uptake of EV/PHEV in Spain 2010–2014

The Spanish Strategy has set the goal of putting one million hybrid and electric vehicles on the nation’s roads by 2014, with 250,000 of these as battery electric vehicles and Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (BEVs & PHEVs). This goal represents a very ambitious and firm commitment from Spain to promote alternative technologies in the transportation sector in general and, specifically the uptake of electric vehicles.

The Programme also aims to increase access to charging infrastructure by 2014 to 62,000 charging points in homes; 263,000 points in fleet parking lots; 12,150 in public car parks and 6,200 on public thoroughfares. It is also envisaged that one in every 400 charging points for private cars will be a fast charging point, reaching 160 charging points by 2014.

The Spanish government expects that introducing EVs to the nation’s roads could halve the amount of oil that it would need to import, reducing the nation’s current trade deficit by 25%. Moreover, Spain would achieve savings of 81 Mt CO₂/year, which translates to 1,000 M€/year in CO₂ emissions.

The plan has the objective of developing a social, technical and knowledge frame for the implementation of EVs in Spain. The interim targets are to achieve 70,000 EVs/PHEVs (63,000 in fleets and 7,000 for citizens) on the road and 108,850 charging points in Spain for 2012. To reach these numbers, a budget of €590 million will be applied to four action lines as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action lines</th>
<th>Measures include:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Boosting demand and promotion of the EV</td>
<td>• Purchase allowances up to €6,000 to buy electric vehicles. This action is funded with an M€240 budget (M€ 80 in 2011 &amp; M€160 in 2012).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2) Support for research, development and industrialisation of the electric vehicle | • Entrepreneurial plans whose target is the electric vehicle will be given priority. It is planned to allocate M€140 in 2011 and 2012  
  • Support plans to communication technologies linked to the EV (between the electric grid and the vehicle), that optimise charging. The envisaged budget for this action amounts to M€ 35 (M€ 17.5 in 2011 & M€17.5 in 2012).  
  • Identify and analyse key technologies and promote them in entrepreneurial and research fields. Budget M€173 (M€86.5 in 2011 and M€86.5 in 2012). |
| 3) Development of the charging infrastructure and its energy management      | • Voluntary agreements with electricity suppliers for a new super off-peak electricity charging fee.  
  • Set up a governing body to specify and regulate charging and/or battery replacement, charging stations and possible services to be provided in parking lots and charging in public areas. |
  • Standardisation of charging infrastructure, vehicles and components.  
  • Academic and professional training                                           |

Source: Adapted from MURE
**5.4 Scrappage schemes**

Scrappage schemes have been introduced in several Member States to further drive the replacement of old inefficient vehicles with new more fuel efficient vehicles and cover primarily passenger cars. In many cases, schemes have been introduced as a temporary measure, with the principal aim of boosting sales of new cars, as these have dropped significantly due to the effects of the economic crisis. Table 5.2 shows a comparison of the different car scrappage schemes in place in early 2010; some of these were temporary measures and are no longer in place. Some countries have also introduced scrappage schemes for HGVs and buses; for example Croatia has a subsidy programme to encourage the scrapping of older, less efficient HGVs and school buses (Euro 0,1,2 or 3) and replacement with Euro 5 compliant vehicles.

In the majority of countries, scrappage schemes for older inefficient cars have been combined with incentives for purchasing new, efficient or low CO₂ emitting cars, thus making it an integrated measure. The scheme in France, the ‘Bonus-Malus’ scheme (see also Box 5.10) includes a penalty for purchase of cars with the highest CO₂ emissions, which is intended to make the scheme revenue neutral.

From 2007 to 2009, Italy also provided incentives for scrapping old vehicles and purchasing new more efficient vehicles (Table 5.3). The scheme applied to cars, light commercial vehicles, as well as motorcycles and scooters and had the elements shown in Table 5.3, including a contribution towards public transport tickets or car sharing if no replacement vehicle was bought. The incentives were administered by the authorised centres that carried out the scrapping, or by the manufacturers or importers of the new vehicle who refunded the vendor with the amount of the contribution, recovering the said amount as a credit against taxation. The scheme is estimated to have led to savings of 2 908 GWh in the period 2007 to 2009. Since 2009 a new scheme has been put in place which includes additional financial incentives for the purchase of electric and hydrogen powered vehicles and for converting existing cars to run on LPG (€500) and methane (€600).
**Table 5.2 Examples of scrappage schemes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Main characteristics of scheme</th>
<th>Incentives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Purchase a new car minimum Euro-4 and scrapping a vehicle &gt;13 years old.</td>
<td>€1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Scapping car &gt;15 years old only, or scrap and purchase a new car with fuel economy max 7 litres/100km or max 5 litres/100km.</td>
<td>€675–€1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Scrap car &gt;10 years, new car with CO₂&lt;160g/km, or LCV.</td>
<td>€1,000 plus + rebate up to €5,000, and bonus in new if low CO₂.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Scrap car &gt;9 years, purchase new car minimum Euro-4, and &lt;1 year old.</td>
<td>€2,500, + tax rebate if Euro-5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Scrapping a car registered pre-2005, not necessary to purchase new car. Or purchase new car or LCV, minimum Euro-4 or Euro-5.</td>
<td>€500–€3,200 Cars €500–€3,700 LCVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Scrap or LCV &gt;9 years, new car CO₂ max 130g/km—diesel or 140g/km—gasoline (petrol).</td>
<td>€1,500–€3,000 Cars €2,500–€6,000 LCVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Scapping car &gt;10 years old and buying new car CO₂&lt;140g/km gives relief on Vehicle Registration Tax.</td>
<td>up to €1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>Scapping car &gt;10 years old, and purchase new car CO₂&lt;120g/km, (diesel PM&lt;5mg ) or new car CO₂&lt;150g/km.</td>
<td>€1,500–€1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Buying a new vehicle and scrapping gasoline (petrol) vehicle &gt;13 years, or buying a new vehicle and scrapping a diesel vehicle &gt;9 years old.</td>
<td>€750–€1,000 €1,000–€1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Scrap a car &gt;10 years old, or &gt;15 years old and buy new car CO₂&lt;140g/km. From August 2009, scrap a car &gt;8 years old, or &gt;13 years old and buy new car CO₂&lt;140g/km.</td>
<td>€1,000–€1,250 purchase incentive €1,250–€1,500 purchase incentive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Scrapping a car &gt;10 years old</td>
<td>Approx €900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Purchase new car maximum €25,000 price, scrapping car &gt;10 years old.</td>
<td>€1,000–€1,500 €2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Vive plan, 0% loan to €10,000, new or used car &lt;5 years, max 140g/km, and LCV max 160g/km Scrap &gt;10 years, fitted with seat belt sensors and ESC. Plan2000e—-—scrap &gt;10 years or &gt;250,000km, and buy new car max CO₂ 140g/km car, lower threshold of &lt;120g/km or LCV max 160g/km.</td>
<td>€2,000 equivalent €2,000 purchase incentive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Scrap car &gt;10 years, purchase new car.</td>
<td>€2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mure Database and IHS Global Insight, March 2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old vehicle</th>
<th>Replacement vehicle</th>
<th>Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car (pre 1999; Euro 0, 1 or 2)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>€ 150 plus 1 year pass for public transport or €800 for car sharing service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 140 g CO₂/km (petrol)</td>
<td>€700 plus car tax exemption for one/2 years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;130 g CO₂/km (diesel)</td>
<td>€800 plus car tax exemption for one/2 years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;120 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>€700 plus car tax exemption for one/2 years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light goods vehicle (pre 1999; Euro 0 or Euro 1; &lt;3.5t)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>€1500 up to 3t €3500 up to 3.5t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas fuelled (methane or LPG)</td>
<td>Additional €1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas fuelled and &lt;120 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>Additional €2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorbike (Euro 0, &lt; 400 cc)</td>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>€300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional €500 if two vehicles owned by the same family are scrapped

Source: MURE database, Italian NEEAP, IEA database on energy efficiency policies and measures
**Box 5.10 Bonus-Malus scheme in France**

The Bonus-Malus scheme in France combines incentives for the purchase of fuel efficient cars with penalties for purchases of inefficient cars. The scheme which came into operation in 2008 consists of:

1. A “bonus” for any purchase of a new low CO₂ emitting car
2. A “malus” or penalty on the purchase of the new cars with the highest CO₂ emissions. These were those exceeding 160 g CO₂/km; the threshold for payment has now been reduced to 150 g (see Figure). The penalty is either added directly to the vehicle purchase price by the seller (and then paid to the government at the time of vehicle registration), or paid by the purchaser when registering their vehicle. Cars running on fuel containing 85% ethanol (E 85) do not pay a penalty as long their emissions are below 250 gCO₂/km.

For a temporary period, the scheme also provided a separate “superbonus”, if the acquisition of the clean vehicle was accompanied by the scrapping of an older vehicle. Initially vehicles had to be over 15 years old, but subsequently vehicles over 10 years qualified as long as the new vehicle purchased was fuel efficient. This “superbonus” element of the scheme is no longer in place.

The Bonus-Malus scheme was intended to be revenue neutral with income from penalties funding the bonus element, but the success of the scheme in encouraging the purchase of cleaner vehicles, led to a deficit, of around €500 million in 2009 and 2010. The levels of bonuses were reduced for 2011 and 2012, and the qualification criteria tightened (see Figure below), and this should help to reduce the budget balance of the measure.

The scheme has led to an increase in the proportion of more fuel efficient vehicles in the market and is estimated to have been responsible for at least half of the reduction in the average CO₂ emissions of new cars in France, from 149 gCO₂/km in 2007 to 140 gCO₂/km in 2000 (i.e. a reduction of 4.5 g CO₂/km). It is estimated this equates to a saving of around 0.21 Mtoe (8800 TJ) per year or about 0.1% of CO₂ emissions from transport.

**Evolution of the Bonus- Malus Scheme in France**

![Graph showing the evolution of the Bonus-Malus scheme in France](image-url)
6 Driver Behaviour

6.1 Traffic management

6.1.1 Speed limits

The specific fuel consumption of cars (l/100 km) is generally at a minimum at speeds of around 90 km per hour, and decreases as speeds rise above this. The idea of using more stringent speed limits to reduce travelling speeds on motorways and thereby cut fuel consumption and transport emissions has received much attention recently, as it could have an immediate effect on fuel consumption and emissions. Current motorway speed limits differ across EU Member States, varying from 110 to 130 km/h; with some countries also apply variable speed limits related to traffic and weather conditions. Modelling of a reduction in motorway speed limits from 120 km/h to 110 km/h suggest that in practice this might reduce the fuel consumption of cars by 2% for diesel cars and 3% for petrol cars20. In 2011, as part of an effort to reduce the national energy bill in the face of the large spike in global oil prices, Spain reduced speed limits on its motorways from 120 to 110 km/h. Stickers with the new speed limit were applied to 6,150 signs, at a cost of €230,000. The temporary restriction was lifted at the end of June, with the Spanish Government reporting that it saved €450 million on fuel costs21, although this was disputed.

Several other countries have legislative measures in place to reduce and/or regulate speed limits for various different vehicle classes (i.e. Bulgaria, Estonia, Finland, France, Hungary, Ireland, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Romania, Sweden, and United Kingdom). However these measures are typically driven by other factors such as safety concerns rather than their contribution to improved fuel economy and fuel savings, and cannot really be considered as energy efficiency measures.

Reducing speed limits on motorways or ensuring more stringent enforcement of existing limits, will mainly deliver fuel savings from cars, as the speed of HGVs and buses (with more than 8 passenger seats) is set by a European Directive (2002/85/EC) which requires that speed limiters are fitted to restrict the speed of HGVs to 90 km per hour and buses to 100 km/h, which is close to the optimum speed for fuel efficiency. In some countries such as France, more stringent enforcement of existing limits has been found to be very effective in reducing the average speed of cars and delivering fuel savings.

6.2 Eco-driving

Eco-driving often refers to driving techniques (training courses, awareness raising campaigns) that enable drivers to optimise their car fuel economy. Several countries have on-going measures in place.

20 http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/green-living-blog/2011/mar/25/hypermiling-tips
21 http://suite101.com/article/confusion-over-spain-speed-limit-u-turn-a377305
Box 6.1 Ecodriving programme in Austria

An Ecodriving initiative was introduced in Austria in 2004 by the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management, in cooperation with the Austrian Energy Agency and the Federal Branch Association of Driving Schools. The programme was initially focussed on car drivers, and about 20,000 drivers have participated, receiving a one day training session containing both theory and practical elements, and costing about €125, with a subsidy of about €25 per training day. Since 2008 Ecodriving training has become a mandatory requirement for obtaining a driving licence, resulting in 90,000 novice drivers per year being educated in Ecodriving skills, and average reductions in fuel consumption of 14%. From 2012, the programme has been extended to include shorter, 1 hour, practical training sessions as well.

In 2007, the initiative was broadened to include truck and bus drivers, and in 2009, to include tractor drivers. About 2,600 bus drivers and 4,600 truck drivers have now participated in Ecodriving training. Average fuel savings are 10%.

About 800 instructors have undertaken Ecodriving training for driving instructors and been certified as Ecodriving trainers. In order to guarantee the quality of subsequent training, the content and timetable for training is defined in Ecodriving handbooks. Driving schools that offer certified instructors, have a fuel efficient fleet of cars, and offer alternative vehicles can receive a special certificate.

Ecodriving competitions have been held since the beginning of the initiative in order to help raise awareness. Originally held at a provincial level, the latest in 2010 was a nationwide competition with 222 participants, who travelled across Austria for 2 days, achieving, on average a 30% reduction in fuel consumption.

Examples of schemes to encourage Eco-driving, in the passenger, freight and rail sectors are:

- Austria: Eco-drive campaign and competition (Box 6.1)
- Netherlands (Het Nieuwe Rijden): This programme promotes more efficient driving methods for car drivers and professional drivers including freight.
• Germany: Rail eco-driving scheme (Activities of Deutsche Bahn): The Deutsche Bahn set specific CO\textsubscript{2} reduction targets for the period 2002 to 2006 for their passenger transport, rail freight transport, and logistics business areas. The business areas themselves can choose the measures to meet their respective targets.

• United Kingdom (SAFED): This programme was specifically aimed at vans, HGVs, bus and coach drivers. It consisted of a one day complimentary driver development course combining driver training and assessment.

• Spain (Action Plan 2011-2020) (Box 6.2)

As well as country specific initiatives there are also European wide eco-driving initiatives such as the ECOWILL project\textsuperscript{22}, which aims to reduce carbon emissions by 8Mt by 2015 through implementing more fuel efficient driving across Europe.

---

**Box 6.2 Ecodriving programme in Spain**

The group of Measures “More Efficient Use of the Means in the Transport Sector” within the Action Plan 2011-2020 contains specific measures for:

- **Driving of private cars**: the aim of this measure is the establishment of efficient driving techniques for both new and experienced private car and van drivers. This will be achieved by training driving instructors, drivers and drivers-to-be in efficient driving, to obtain the driving licence for passenger cars and commercial vehicles under 3,500 kg. In addition, the measure considers the progressive installation of on-board computers in all new private vehicles.

- **HGVs and buses**: the aim of this measure is to establish efficient driving techniques for both new and experienced heavy duty vehicle drivers.

- **Aircraft**: the aim of this measure is to improve efficiency related to air traffic, i.e. flying at optimal speed, height, and trajectory conditions through the introduction of continuous descent/green routes, improved transatlantic routes and optimisation of existing routes.

Support for the road transport measures range between 50%/80% & 100% of the training costs, depending on the kind of student (driver or instructor) and of the kind of fleet service (private or public).

---

### 6.3 Optimised logistics

#### 6.3.1 Freight logistics

Another approach taken by countries to improving the overall fuel efficiency of freight transport is through fleet management/fleet logistics, such as voluntary agreements which are already covered in section 4.2.2.

An example for Spain is described in more detail in Box 6.3.

---

\textsuperscript{22} [http://www.ecodrive.org/en/home/ecowill_the_project/](http://www.ecodrive.org/en/home/ecowill_the_project/)
Box 6.3 Management of road transport fleets in Spain

As part of the basket of measures on “More Efficient Use of the Means in the Transport Sector” within the Action Plan 2011-2020, Spain introduced a measure to improve the management of the road transport fleet in order to achieve a reduction in the specific consumption per ton or transported passenger. This measure means to boost the generalised use of the new telematic applications and other fleet management tools on the side of all the road transport firms, either goods transport or collective passenger transport.

This measure includes a set of actions to be carried out by various public bodies covering:

- **Legislative**: the establishment of minimum criteria in terms of efficient fleet management will be considered so as to grant licences to collective passenger and goods transport companies.

- **Economic incentives**: continued support lines envisaged within the framework of the E4 action plans to carry out audits in the field of the transport fleet efficient management and the conduction of training campaigns aimed at professionals of transport fleets.

- **Training**: enhance training in the field of transport fleet efficient management through formal training in the notion of transport manager.

- **Information**: definition and grant of the suitable accreditation to all those firms having an efficient fleet system management in their organisation. This accreditation may be combined with the accreditation corresponding to the renewal of fleets.

- **Communication**: development of demonstrative campaigns aimed at the transport sector and the general public, promoting the efficient driving. Other accompaniment mechanisms: promotion of integrated logistical centres.

Source: NEEAP

6.3.2 Car Sharing

Energy efficiency of passenger transport can also be improved through soft measures such as limiting the number of trips made or making car journeys more efficient by utilising the maximising the capacity of passengers by car journey, i.e. car sharing.

Belgium is trying to incentivise car sharing through measures such as reserving a lane of traffic to make car sharing more attractive (commuters travelling by car represent 20 to 30% of road traffic). The Highway Code was modified in 2003 to allow the road system manager to reserve a lane of traffic not only to public transport vehicles, but also to private vehicles occupied by more than one passenger.

France introduced the ‘car club’, which is defined as making a fleet of motorised land transport vehicles available to subcribing users on a shared basis. Each subscriber may have access to a vehicle, without a driver, for the journey of his or her choice and for a limited period. A ‘car club’ label is currently being defined at national level and will be the subject of a decree stating the terms of its award and use. Town hall authorities may reserve parking spaces for vehicles with this label. A CERTU study concerns mechanisms for encouraging the use of alternatives to private cars in European countries, including car clubs. The study has been finalised but has not yet been made public. Similar measures are in place in the United Kingdom (e.g. Streetcar, City Car Club).

6.3.3 Horizontal cross cutting measures

Horizontal cross cutting measures are defined as measures that have successfully been implemented in other sectors than transport and are now introduced to the transport sector to improve energy efficiency. One example is given in Box 6.4 below and explains the application of white certificates, which were initially introduced in the household sector, in the transport sector.
Box 6.4 White certificates scheme for transport in France

The White Certificates Scheme was launched in France in 2005, with the first obligation period running from 2006 to 2009, and placing obligations for energy suppliers the tertiary and residential sectors to achieve energy savings by promoting energy efficiency measures to their customers. Energy Efficiency Certificates (White certificates) are awarded to organisations undertaking energy saving activities, and may be traded. Suppliers unable to surrender enough certificates to meet its obligations at the end of the period, receive a financial penalty of 20€ per MWh of shortfall. An electronic registry is operated for the certificates, with the cost of the registry met through registration fees and account opening fees.

In 2010 the scheme was expanded to include transport fuel suppliers whose annual sales exceed a certain threshold. They will be required to achieve savings of 30 TWh cumac per year, or a total of 90TWh over the second obligation period January 2011 to December 2013). The obligation is shared between suppliers according to their sales. 15TWh per year is to come from professional vehicle fleets, 10 TWh from private vehicles and 5 TWh from freight. Energy savings are calculated on the basis of the cumulative final energy savings over the lifespan of the measure, and actualised (by discounting at a rate of 4% per year) over the life of the product (cumac kWh of final energy). Standardised energy saving measurement worksheets have been set to precisely define eligibility criteria and provide calculation methods for the most common measures implemented.

Actions which can lead to the issue of certificates include contributing towards programmes to reduce the energy consumption of the least well-off households or towards programmes offering information, training and innovation in support of demand-side management, in particular aimed at developing vehicles with low carbon dioxide emissions. It has been suggested however that fuel retailers may comply with their obligations by achieving savings in other sectors; for example, supermarkets with petrol stations could gain certificates by selling energy efficient appliances.

Sources: MURE database, France Second NEEAP, Leinekugel (2012), ACE (2011)
7 Conclusions

There is a growing realisation that a focus on improving the efficiency of vehicles is only part of the solution in the transport sector. A more holistic approach involving the reduction of transport demand and the shift of transport to more environmentally friendly and energy efficient modes is needed if the European Union is to meet its 2030 and 2050 targets.

Very few EU Member States presented a comprehensive package of transport measures in their National Energy Efficiency Action Plans (NEEAPs) covering technological, infrastructural, financial, behavioural and special planning measures. One of the key barriers to the development of such a package of measures is that decisions are often taken at different levels of government (central, regional, local) and by a range of departments and ministries.

The MURE database developed and maintained by the ODYSSEE-MURE project contains 427 measures in the transport sector. The most commonly implemented policies at Member State level are those that seek to improve the efficiency of vehicles or encourage the purchase of cleaner vehicles. Other measures described in the MURE database seek to encourage modal shift or change driver behaviour. Biofuels policies are not within the scope of the ODYSSEE-MURE project although some Member States have chosen to include biofuels policies in the MURE database for completeness.

The majority of policies focus on cars: improving the efficiency of cars, encouraging the take-up of energy efficient cars and changing the behaviour of car drivers. This focus on cars may be partly due to the homogeneous nature of cars. However, cross-cutting measures such as voluntary agreements and white certificates are now being applied to other vehicle types. Such measures are likely to be more appropriate than codes and standards when dealing with heterogeneous technologies like heavy goods vehicles (HGVs).

Modal shift can play an important role in reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from transport. However modal shift is not an energy efficiency measure and so not a focus for the MURE database and the ODYSSEE-MURE project more generally. Nevertheless, there are a range of modal shift measures described in the MURE database and the NEEAPs including enhancements to public transport provision, fiscal incentives to encourage the use of public transport or non-motorised modes, differential toll charges, the promotion of walking and cycling, and urban mobility planning.

Vehicle efficiency improvement measures are predominantly implemented at EU level through regulations targeted at vehicle manufacturers. There are also some novel policies at the national level, particularly on HGVs and public transport vehicles, which are not regulated at EU level. HGV measures include the introduction of longer road trains that offer fuel savings of ~15% per tonne km and voluntary agreements with freight logistics companies.

Measures to encourage the uptake of cleaner vehicles include labelling, taxation and infrastructure charges, grants and subsidies and scrappage schemes. Labelling of new cars has been implemented at the EU level, but several Member States expanded the scheme at the national level, for example to cover used vehicles, or LGVs. Differentiation of car purchase tax by fuel efficiency/CO₂ emissions have now been introduced in almost two-thirds of Member States, but differentiation of annual circulation taxes is less common. Infrastructure charging schemes are focussed on HGVs and range from simple time based usage charges to an innovative distance based scheme, using GPS satellite systems implemented in Germany. Scrappage schemes for older inefficient cars, have been introduced quite widely across the EU, although often for relatively limited periods. Many of the schemes also provide incentives for the purchase of new cars, often stipulating CO₂
performance standards which must be met. The last few years has also seen many countries introducing specific policies to encourage the uptake of electric vehicles. Measures generally seek to combat the most common barriers towards electric vehicle use: high capital cost, through grants towards purchase cost, and lack of charging infrastructure through regional programmes designed to increase access along strategic transport routes and in major cities.

Changing driver behaviour to encourage more fuel efficient driving is widely recognised as potentially offering significant savings. Several countries have introduced training courses and awareness raising campaigns on the benefits of ‘eco-driving’, both for car drivers and for freight, and bus and coach and drivers of off-road vehicles. Increasing the utilisation of vehicles, e.g. through car sharing, can also contribute to improving the overall efficiency of passenger transport, and is typically encouraged though a range of ‘soft’ measures to change driver and passenger behaviour. As with modal shift, these softer measures are not the focus of the MURE database, but nevertheless it does include examples of measures to facilitate and incentivise car sharing, e.g. through priority access to car parking or reducing journey times, by allowing car sharers access to reserved lanes on roads.
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Appendices

Appendix 1: Example of Measures in MURE database
Appendix 1 – Example of Measures in MURE Database

Example of measures in MURE database: fiscal measures related to purchase of cars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Starting Year</th>
<th>Semi-quantitative Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU2</td>
<td>Tax Depending on Motor Vehicle's Fuel Consumption (NoVA)</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK9</td>
<td>Taxes on registration of a new cars</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK7</td>
<td>Green Owner Fee</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN22</td>
<td>Car Tax Revision</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA21</td>
<td>Registration surcharge for cars</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA19</td>
<td>Automobile bonus malus</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUN7</td>
<td>Registration tax on new cars</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV17</td>
<td>Applying of differential tax rates for passenger cars depending on age</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLD27</td>
<td>Other transport taxes (Motor Vehicle Tax/Private Car and Motorcycle Tax, CO2 differentiation, lease cars) (Overige transportbelastingen (MRB?BPM, CO2-differentiatie, lease-auto’s))</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL11</td>
<td>Vehicle taxation</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POR9</td>
<td>Taxation on the purchase of passengers vehicles</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO9</td>
<td>Motor Vehicles Tax</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example of summary of measure description in Mure database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Code</th>
<th>FRA19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Automobile bonus malus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td><a href="http://www.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/article.php3?id_article=2825/">Reference link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuing Date</td>
<td>12/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting Date</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Date</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-quantitative Impact</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEAP Measure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types:
13) Fiscal - Taxation (other than eco-tax) - Tax on the purchase of cars (if linked to efficiency improvement)

Actors: Central Government, Vehicle manufacturers

Target Audience: General Public, New vehicles

Area: Inter-Urban, Regional, Urban

Targeted End Use

*View Detailed Measure Description*
Example of full description of measure in Mure database

Title of the measure: FRA19 Bonus malus for new cars

General description

The Environment Round Table highlighted the advantages of an ecological tax on the most polluting new vehicles, the revenue of which would finance the withdrawal of the oldest vehicles, which are, on average, more polluting. It involves rewarding the purchase of an environmentally responsible car and funding this incentive by penalising those who buy vehicles with the highest CO2 emissions. This incentive system would not mean any additional general taxation of households or companies.

This device is the first concrete application of the "price signal" proposed by the Environment Round Table. It comprises three sections:

- The first involves allocating a "bonus" for any purchase of a new low CO2 emitting car, i.e. one which would have emissions below 130 g/km of CO2 for 2008 and 2009. For subsequent years, the bonus would apply to vehicles with CO2 emissions lower than 125 g/km for 2010 and 2011 and lower than 120 g for 2012. The conditions are defined by Decree No 2007-1873, of 26 December 2007 (Official Journal, 30/12/07), establishing aid for purchase of clean vehicles.

- The second part, also laid down by Decree No 2007-1873, involves adding to this bonus a "superbonus" (or "scrapping bonus") if the acquisition of the clean vehicle is accompanied by the scrapping of an old vehicle over 15 years old. Since the 4th December 2008 and until the end of 2009, this bonus has been modified: the scrapping bonus of 1000€ is given if the new clean vehicle emits less than 160 g CO2/km and if the old car is more than 10 years old. This scrapping bonus will be maintained in 2010: 700€ until the 30th June 2010 and 500€ after. The emission threshold has been reduced too: it switched to 155 gCO2/km in January 2010.

- Finally, the third part, established by Article 63 of the amending Finance Law, Law No 2007-1824, of 25 December 2007, (Official Journal, 28/12/2007), provides for a "malus" on the purchase of the new cars with the highest CO2 emissions. According to the scale defined by the 2007 amending Finance Law, the vehicles subject to this tax are those of which the CO2 emissions exceed 160 g/km for 2008 and 2009, 155 g for 2010 and 2011 and 150 g in 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 - Bonus-Malus structure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gCO2/km</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60</td>
<td>-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61,100]</td>
<td>-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[101,120]</td>
<td>-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[121,130]</td>
<td>-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131,160]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[161,165]</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[166,200]</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[201,250]</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 250</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On November 26th 2010, the government has decided to change the bonus-malus from the 1st January 2011.
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#### Bonus in 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂/km</th>
<th>Bonus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60g</td>
<td>5000€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 61g and 90g included</td>
<td>800€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 91g and 110g included</td>
<td>400 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 111g and 150g</td>
<td>0€</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Malus in 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂/km</th>
<th>Malus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between 151 and 155 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>200 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 156 and 190 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>750 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 191 and 240 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>1600 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 241 g CO₂/km and more</td>
<td>2600 €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### In 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂/km</th>
<th>Malus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between 141 and 135 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>200 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 151 and 155 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>500 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 136 and 180 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>750 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 181 and 190 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>1100 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 191 and 230 g CO₂/km</td>
<td>1600 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 231 g CO₂/km and more</td>
<td>2600 €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impact evaluation (methods and results)**

**Follow-up**

*Table 2 – Percentage of market share*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gCO₂/km</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61,100]</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[101,120]</td>
<td>18.50%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>35.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[121,130]</td>
<td>12.50%</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
<td>9.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131,160]</td>
<td>43.40%</td>
<td>45.30%</td>
<td>41.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[161,165]</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[166,200]</td>
<td>14.40%</td>
<td>14.80%</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[201,250]</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td>4.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 250</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those figures put forth the increasing number of cars between 101 and 120, and the decline of the cars > 166 gCO₂/km.

The total percentage of “bonus” cars moved from 31% in 2007 to 44.8% in 2009 and the percentage of “minus” cars moved from 25.6% in 2007 to 14% in 2009.

Graph 1 – Average CO₂ emissions of new cars (gCO₂/km)

Is the “bonus-minus” really responsible for this increasing number of low emitting cars? Oil increase price and the financial crises have also urged French people to buy cars that consume less. What is then the real impact of the “bonus minus”?

Methods

To estimate the real impact of the bonus-minus, the “Commission des comptes des transports de la nation” compared the figures in France to the figures of other European countries (which have also face the increase of oil price and the financial crises).

Graph 2 – Average CO₂ emissions of new cars (gCO₂/km) in European countries
This graph puts forth a different evolution in 2007/2008 (years of implementation of the “bonus-malus”) between France and the other European countries: -6% in France and -3.1% in the EU (without France).

If we suppose that, without the “bonus-malus”, France would have evoluted as the rest of the EU, then its average emission rate would have moved from 149 gCO₂/km in 2007 to 144 gCO₂/km in 2008 instead of 140 gCO₂/km. That is to say that, without the bonus-malus, the average CO₂ per vehicle would have been cut of 4,7 gCO₂/km instead of 9,3. Thus, 50% of the decline of the emission rate can be attributed to the bonus-malus.

Note: The impact of the “bonus-malus” is likely to be higher than the above-written impact for two reasons:
- As the French emission rate has always been one of the lowest in the EU, its natural trend should rather have been like the Belgium (-2.6%) or Italian one (0%).
- The financial crisis has had fewer consequences on cars market in France than in the other European countries, as shews the following table representing the evolution of the number of new cars in several countries. Thus the percentage attributed to the “bonus-malus” should be higher than 50%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Evolution 2007/2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>-11.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Still, it is considered, in the following calculation, that 50% of the decline is attributed to the “bonus-malus”.

Results

Assuming a service life of 15 years and an average annual mileage of 13,000 km, the CO₂ emissions prevented by the “bonus-malus” for vehicles sold in 2008 amount to 1.8 million tonnes for the 15 year-period (2008-2023).
This figure takes no account of the “rebound effect” (the fact that because the consumption of their car is lower, people use it more). This rebound effect is very difficult to calculate. The elasticity of traffic with respect to price per km was estimated to be 0.2, which means that the CO2 emissions prevented by the “bonus malus” for vehicles sold in 2008 actually amount to 1.6 million tonnes for the 15 year-period (2008-2023), that is to say around 107 kt per year.

Calculations have been made in the evaluations with the following parameter: 2.56 kg of CO2 in a fuel litre. As 1 toe is approximately 1300 L of fuel, the energy savings amount around 3 Mtoe for the 15 year-period (2008-2023), that is to say around 0.23 Mtoe (8500 Tt) per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ex-post evaluation</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct CO₂ (kt)</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Tt)</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure Impact Level

☐ low  ☐ medium  ☑ high

Definition of impact:
Low: CO₂ savings < 0.1% of overall CO₂ emission in transports
Medium: between 0.1 and 0.5%
High: > 0.5%

Explanation:
Let’s consider the year 2008: CO₂ savings represent 107 kt and the emissions of transports in 2008 represented 135 Mt. Thus the ratio is around 0.14%

Interaction of measures
FRA16 Car labelling in CO₂ emissions
FRA13 Grants for LPG and CNG vehicles
FRA 14 Grants for electric vehicles
FRA 8 Subsidies for car replacement

Historical data
It’s the first time that such a financial bonus or “extra charge” is instituted for buying a new car. But some years ago (still …), an annual tax for calculation was existing. The financial amount was calculated about the power of the car. Even if the amount was not calculated about the consumption or CO₂ emissions, it was not so different. French government decided to stop this system for private vehicles in 2001.
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